The cephalic anatomy of workers of the ant species *Wasmannia affinis* (Formicidae, Hymenoptera, Insecta) and its evolutionary implications
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**Abstract**

Despite the ecological significance of ants and the intensive research attention they have received, thorough treatments of the anatomy and functional morphology are still scarce. In this study we document the head morphology of workers of the myrmicine *Wasmannia affinis* with optical microscopy, µ-computed tomography, scanning electron microscopy, and 3D reconstruction, providing the first complete anatomical treatment of an ant head with a broad array of modern techniques. We discuss the potential of the applied methods to generate detailed and well-documented morphological data sets with increased efficiency. We also address homology problems, particularly in the context of the cephalic digestive tract. According to our analyses the "pharynx" of previous ant studies is homologous to the prepharynx of other insects. We also discuss the phylogenetic potential and functional significance of the observed characters, with internal features such as tentorium and musculature discussed for the first time. Our investigation underlines that detailed anatomical data for Formicidae are still very fragmentary, which in turn limits our understanding of the major design elements underlying the ant bauplan. We attempt to provide a template for further anatomical studies, which will help to understand the evolution of this fascinating group on the phenotypic level.

**1. Introduction**

The ecological dominance, high biomass, diversity of interactions with other species, and complex eusocial colony structures have brought much well-deserved attention to the ants (Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990). With 13,428 valid species (Bolton, 2018) Formicidae represent "only" about 1% of the total insect species number (Stork et al., 2018). However, ants have evolved a remarkable range of lifestyles and feeding habits. They can be specialized predators, granivores or fungivores, and occur in nearly all types of ecosystems, with the exception of the polar regions, high mountain tops, and aquatic habitats (Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990; Lach et al., 2010). As a result, ants are one of the most intensively investigated insect taxa, with studies on symbioses (e.g. Russell et al., 2009; Weber, 1972), behaviour (e.g. Hölldobler et al., 1978), chemical ecology (e.g. Cavill et al., 1984), systematics and taxonomy (e.g. Bolton, 2003; Ward et al., 1996), genetics (e.g. Lee et al., 2018) and evolutionary developmental biology (e.g. Abouheif and Wray, 2002), among others. The long-debated evolutionary history of ants has recently been elucidated through phylogenetic analyses for the family as a whole (Moreau et al., 2006; Brady et al., 2006; Ward et al., 2014; Borowiec et al., 2017) and for the most species-rich subfamilies Dolichoderinae (Ward et al., 2010), Formicinae (Blaimer et al., 2015) and Myrmicinae (Ward et al., 2015).

Considering the intensive research interest on ants, it is surprising that a complete treatment of the cephalic anatomy based on modern techniques is presently not available. This does not mean that the morphology of the group has not been studied intensively. Morphological information on ants addressing features of the external skeleton is presented in studies with a taxonomic (e.g. Bolton, 2003; Boudinot, 2015; Hita Garcia et al., 2017a,b) or a
that occurs in the Atlantic forests of southeastern Brazil (Longino et al., 1995; Janicki et al., 2016; Beutel et al., 2017), and improve our understanding of the major innovations in functional morphology of ants. Extensive data from the literature are taken into consideration, not only covering ants but also other groups of Hymenoptera, especially representatives of the Aculeata.

The detailed investigation of the skeleton-muscular apparatus of the head of this species is a first step towards an optimized and standardized anatomical documentation of heads of ants in general. We discuss the possible application of a broad array of techniques to reach this goal, most of which were employed in this work. Some homology issues are addressed, especially concerning the digestive tract. Finally, we discuss cephalic character transformations, including their functional implications, which may have played a role in the early evolution of this extraordinarily successful group of insects (Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990; Grimaldi and Engel, 2005).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Material

Workers of W. affinis were collected at the Desterro Conservation Unit, Florianópolis, Brazil, in January 2016 and preserved in 70% ethanol. For details concerning collection methods and species identification see Rosumek (2017). Twelve workers (11 + 1 for μCT) of W. affinis were used for the present study. Additionally, several workers of Acromyrmex asperus (Smith, F., 1858), collected in the same manner, were treated with the same methods described here. The results of these investigations will be published at a later date, but they are used as comparison at several points in the discussion of this work.

2.2. Sample preparation

The heads of three specimens of W. affinis were separated from the body with Dumont No. 5 forceps. Two heads of W. affinis were treated with ultra-sonic sound (Sonorex device Type RK 31, Bandelin electronics, Berlin, Germany) for 2 min. The heads were transferred via an ascending ethanol series (70, 80, 90, 96, 100%) into 100% acetone. Next, Critical Point Drying in liquid CO2 was performed with an Emitech K 850 Critical Point Dryer (Sample Preparation Division, Quorum Technologies Ltd., Ashford, England).

One of the heads was treated overnight with Scheerpeltz solution (65% ethanol, 5% acetic acid and 30% aqua dest) to soften the antennae, which were then fixed in a more suitable position with minutiae needles. The sample was then transferred to 100% EtOH overnight, and subsequently in 100% acetone. Finally, it was dried at the critical point as described above, and then mounted on minutiae with super glue. The three heads were fixed in different positions and used for Photomicrography and SEM.

One specimen was treated in a slightly heated (ca. 25–30 °C) KOH solution for several hours. After rinsing the sample in distilled water, its mouthparts were dissected under a stereomicroscope (Leica MZ 125) using Dumont forceps and sharpened minutiae glued to glass pipettes or mounted on a craft knife holder (Max Bringmann KG, Wendelstein, Germany). Additionally, one head was dissected without prior treatment with KOH. The isolated mouthparts were placed in microporous sample chambers and transferred to 100% non-denatured ethanol (70, 80, 96, 100%), and dried at the critical point. The minute mouthparts were attached to SEM stubs with double sided adhesive film. One partly dissected head was macerated overnight at 50 °C in KOH solution. After finishing the preparation of the mouthparts it was cleaned with ultra-sonic sound three times (5 s) to obtain a clear view of the oral foramen. The head and dissected mouthparts were then dried at the critical point as described above and glued on minutiae.
2.3. Scanning electron microscopy

Samples were mounted on a rotatable specimen holder (Pohl, 2010). An Emitter K 500 (Sample Preparation Division, Quorum Technologies Ltd., Ashford, England) was used for sputter coating with gold. SEM micrographs were taken with a Philips ESEM XL30 (Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands) equipped with Scandium FIVE software (Olympus, Münster, Germany). In order to increase the depth of field, some image series with different focus were combined with Helicon Focus 6 (Helicon Soft Inc., Roseau Valley 00152 Dominica).

2.4. Microtome section series

Gaster, legs and antennae of a specimen were removed with Dumont forceps. Two additional specimens were severed in the prothoracic region with the same forceps and their antennae removed to achieve better penetration of the embedding medium. Dehydration of the samples was performed as described for drying at the critical point, followed by embedding in Araldite CY 212 (Agar Scientific, Stansted/Essex, England). The samples were sectioned (1 μm thickness) with a microtome HM 360 (Microm, Walldorf, Germany) equipped with a diamond knife. The first head was sectioned horizontally. Cross sections and longitudinal sections were made using the other two heads. The longitudinal section was partly done with a glass knife (1.5 μm). The sections were stained with toluidine blue and pyronine G (Waldeck GmbH, Neu-Isenburg) digital microscope. Images of the dried mouthparts were produced under reflected light with the same microscope. They were not mounted as image plates due to insufficient quality, but provided information on colouration, also allowing tentative interpretations of degrees of sclerotization of different areas of the mouthparts.

2.8. Image processing

All images were edited with Adobe Photoshop® CS6 (Adobe System Incorporated, San Jose, USA) and arranged into figure plates. On SEM images and images from section series tonal correction was performed. In addition, the selective sharpener was used, also in the case of photomicrographs. Adobe Illustrator® CS6 (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, USA) was used to label the figure plates.

2.9. Terminology

The myrmecological terminology is based on Keller (2011), the general anatomical terminology on Beutel and Vilhelmsen (2007) and Beutel et al. (2014). The definition of “suture” and “internal strengthening ridge” is originally based on Wipfler et al. (2011). The lateral process of the mandible is called adductor swelling following Michener and Fraser (1978). Terms for structures of the maxillolabial complex not previously described in ants are taken from Popovici et al. (2014). Positional designations were partially modified to account for ant prognathism (e.g., “dorsal” and “ventral” sclerites of the glossa were used for ants whereas “anterior” and “posterior” sclerite are used in hypognathous hymenopterans). The glands were named after Grasso et al. (2004), two glands were renamed according to the newly established homology of parts of the digestive tract (see results section). An overview of important terms used in this work is provided in Table 2, Appendix B.

3. Results

3.1. Head capsule

The head of *W. affinis* workers is prognathous; it appears subtriangular in dorsal view (Figs. 1A and 2A) and is longer than wide; the hind margin of the head is slightly concave and the sides convex; it reaches its maximum width shortly posterior to the compound eyes; in lateral view it appears subrectangular, with an evenly convex dorsal surface (Figs. 1B and 2B); the ventral side is only distinctly convex anteriorly, whereas the posterior 1/3 is flat. The cervical articulation with the prothorax is very narrow; the foramen occipitale is also strongly narrowed and deeply countersunk in the occipital region; the strongly sclerotized postoccipitale is present in a collar-like manner (Figs. 1C and 2C, F); it is connected with the pronotum and the propodeum by a cervical membrane; an articulatory concavity is present ventrolaterally. The posterior tentorial pits are recognizable laterad the foramen (ptp, Figs. 1C and 2C, F). The ventral closure of the head is formed by a postgenal suture. The internal postgenal ridge is visible as a darker line on photomicrographs (pgr, Fig. 1C) (this character is commonly referred to as postgenal suture, but it is in fact an internal strengthening ridge). Some of the setae inserted on the head capsule show a conspicuous dentation (Fig. 2H). Distinct frontal carinae extend from the antennal insertions to the area of the vertex (frc, Figs. 1A and 2A). The cuticle is uniformly bronze-coloured, with only the carinae and ridges appearing darker. The compound eyes are drop-shaped, with the narrower side anteriorly oriented; they are composed of 36 ommatidia, some of them with short setae inserted between them (Fig. 2G). The antennal scrobe, a
3.2. Endoskeleton

The very long anterior tentorial arms (ata, Figs. 10A–C, 11 and 12) extend from the occipital region almost to the level of the antennal insertions. They are thin but bear mesally directed lamellae in the central region of the head (ml, Fig. 10A–C); these extensions are widening anteriorly and extend from about the middle region of the head to the level of the anterior edge of the compound eyes. The posterior arms (pta, Figs. 10A, B and 11G) are very short. The tentorial bridge (tb, Figs. 10A–C and 11G) originates directly from the tentorial base at the posterior head capsule; it is a thin crossbeam with an anteriorly directed angle and a median process at the anterior edge, which is continuous with the tendon of M. 48/50. Dorsal tentorial arms are missing and a secondary tentorial bridge (e.g. Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016) is also absent, and also processes of the posterior tentorium. A postgenal ridge is present on the ventral side of the head capsule (pgr, Fig. 10C). It originates from the hypostoma and slightly reaches beyond the central region of the head. Its upper edge forms a plateau in the anterior third and posteriorly its height decreases continuously. The acetabulum of the antennal insertion is extended as a wide phragma internally (aphr, Fig. 10C), which serves as attachment area for a component of the frontohypopharyngeal muscle (M41a).

3.3. Antennae

The antennae insert in laterally oriented concave articulatory areas on the dorsal side of the head capsule directly behind the clypeus. The bulbus (bb, Fig. 3A, C) of the scapus (sc, Fig. 3A) is deeply countersunk in the acetabulum (ac, Fig. 3D, E) and therefore only partly visible; it articulates with a peg-like antennifer, which originates in the deep middle region of the acetabulum, shortly before this connects with the lumen of the head capsule. The very long scapus is almost half as long as the entire antenna; the strongly flattened semiglobular bulbus bears a short shaft-like bulbus neck; this structure is separated from the remaining scapus by a collar which is distinctly extended posteriorly (scp, Fig. 3A); the collar dorsally covers a large part of the antennal insertion area. The pedicellus (pd, Fig. 3A), the proximal element of the funiculus, attaches to the apical articulatory area of the scapus; it articulates with the frontal side of the scapus and is proximally...
bent anterad, which results in the geniculate shape of the antenna; it is strongly narrowed in its articulatory area but widens distally. The first true flagellomere is slightly longer than the second; the flagellomeres then increase in length and 6 is longer than the first one; the proximal flagellomeres are narrower than the distal part of the pedicellus; the last three flagellomeres (7–9) are longer than

Fig. 3. SEM images of the antenna (A–C) and antennal insertion areas (D, E) of W. affinis. A. Antenna in dorsal view. A’. Contact zone of scape and pedicel. B. Apical antennomere in ventral view. C. Bulbus of the antenna in frontoventral view. D. Antennal insertion area in lateral view. E. Antennal insertion area in dorsal view. Abbreviations: ac — acetabulum, an — anterolateral notch of the bulbus, atm — anterior tentorial pit, bb — bulbus of the scape, fl — frontal lobe, pd — pedicel, ptg — peritorular groove, sc — scape, scp — collar of the scapal base, ss — short setae on the bulbus, tr — lateral arch of the torulus, trm — mesal arch of the torulus.
the others and distinctly widening towards the apex, thus forming an indistinct club. The whole antenna, especially the flagellum, carries a lot of setae which are especially densely distributed on the apical antennomere (Fig. 3B).

Musculature (Figs. 9D–F and 11): The scapus is moved by four muscles of similar size. The tendons of M. 1 and M. 4, and M. 2 and M. 3, respectively, are running very close to each other over a part of their length (though recognizable as separate structures on microtome sections). M. tentorioscapalis anterior (M. 1): Origin (=O): dorsolateral surface of the anterior tentorial arm; Insertion (=I): anterolaterally in a notch of the bulbus, seemingly externally but covered by a membrane (an, Fig. 11C). M. tentorioscapalis posterior (M. 2): O: posterodorsal surface of the anterior tentorial arms and extensively on its mesal lamella; I: posterior on the bulbus of the scapus. M. tentorioscapalis lateralis (M. 3): O: middle region of the dorsal surface of the anterior tentorial arms, laterad M. 2 and posterad M. 1; I: laterally on the bulbus, seemingly on the external surface but covered by a membrane, posterad M. 1. M. tentorioscapalis medialis (M. 4): O: on the mesal lamella of the anterior tentorial arm, mesad M. 1; I: medially on the anterior region of the bulbus. M. scapopedicellaris lateralis (M. 5) and M. scapopedicellaris medialis (M. 6): (not shown on figure plates) both muscles are present; the precise shape, orientation and insertion sites could not be clarified with the data at hand, but they are likely two narrow bundles, probably with long tendons, originating on the collar-like extension of the proximal scapus.

3.4. Mandibles

The basal articulatory area of the mandible is tightly connected with different structures of the head capsule, in addition to the primary and secondary joint; the dorsal (secondary) mandibular joint is formed by a ventrolateral, longitudinal smooth elongation of the clypeus (dma, Fig. 2D), which articulates with a smooth dorsolateral area on the mandibular base (dma, Fig. 4A, C); the ventral (primary) mandibular joint is formed by a narrower, shorter, distally rounded and smooth articulatory process (vma, Fig. 4B–D), which corresponds with an articulatory socket of the head capsule (vma, Fig. 2D); an additional well-developed rounded process, the abductor swelling (abs, Fig. 4B, C), is located above and laterad the articulatory process and internally connected with the abductor apodeme; the mesal edge of the ventral articulatory socket is a rounded-triangular extension which fits into a shallow mandibular groove; mesad of the ventral socket a large triangular extension of the postgena is in contact with the mandible anterad a low convexity of the mesal mandibular base, closing the ventral part of the foramen (pgt, Fig. 2D); additionally, the dorsal side of the mandible is in contact with a flattened triangular process of the clypeus equipped with a row of setae (vclp, Fig. 2D); when the mandibles are closed it lies behind the basal mandibular margin at the level of the trulleum. The external side of the mandible appears triangular in dorsal view (ef, Fig. 4A): it appears bent mesad to form a large horizontal blade so that the masticatory margin forms an
angle of ca. 45° with the axis of mandible rotation; it can be described as torqued (see Keller, 2011, Char. 29), although not by full 90°; the four teeth on the masticatory margin decrease in thickness proximad, and the masticatory margin itself forms a strongly pronounced angle with the basal mandibular margin (bm, Fig. 4); the basal margin extends from the apex of the proximal to the socket of the dorsal (secondary) mandibular articulation in an evenly curved line (bm, Fig. 4A); in contrast, the ventral external margin extends from the ventral joint in an even curve mesad towards the tip of the apical tooth (em, Fig. 4B). On the internal face of the mandible, an apical transverse carina runs from the proximal margin of the preapical tooth and almost reaches the angle with the basal margin (tmc, Fig. 4B). An approximately heart-shaped concavity anterad the articulatory area constitutes the trulleum at the basal mandibular region (tr, Fig. 4A); the mandalus is a membranous lobe in a furrow close to this deepening (ma, Fig. 4A); medially the trulleum is delimited by a cuticular ridge, the canthellus (ca, Fig. 4A, D), which is connected to an elevation at the medial base of the mandible (cae, Fig. 4D).

**Musculature** (Figs. 9A–C, 11 and 12): *M. craniomandibularis internus* (M. 11): by far the largest muscle of the head. O (= Origin): with many bundles in the posterior 1/2 of head capsule, lateral, ventral and posterior wall of head capsule, anterior bundles reaching the level of the compound eyes, posterior bundles further ventrad, with the level of the attachment sites declining in an oblique line; I (= Insertion): strongly developed apodeme dorsomedially attached on the mandible, shaped like a flat band anteriorly; anteriorly with a small lateral process and posteriorly split into two thinner subcomponents, thus appearing tripartite; additionally, numerous minute cuticular fibrillae connect the fibres of M.11 with the apodeme; posterad the anterior process a bundle from the lateral region and a medioventral bundle insert directly on the band-shaped area of the apodeme; their sarcomeres appear distinctly shorter on the sections than those of the other fibres.


3.5. **Maxillae**

The maxillae form a compact functional unit with the labium, the maxillolabolial complex (Fig. 5A); the proximal parts of the ventral
Fig. 6. SEM-images of the maxilla of W. affinis. A. Right maxilla, medioposterior view. B. Left maxilla, lateroanterior view. C. Microtrichia on the inner side of the lacinia. D. Lateral margin of the external stipital sclerite. E. Detail of the inner side of the galea. F. Lacinial comb. Abbreviations: cd — cardo, csga — conical sensilla of the galea, dist — distal lateral margin of the external stipital sclerite, ga — galea, gacr — galeal crown, lc — lacinia, lcc, lacinial comb, mtga — row of thin setae on the inner side of the galea, mxc — maxillary comb, pmx — maxillary palp, sle — small sensilla at the lateral margin of the external stipital sclerite, st — stipes/external stipital sclerite, stis — row of setae on the mesal margin of the external stipital sclerite stlr — lateral ridge of the external stipital sclerite.
mouthparts are connected with each other by membranes; the entire complex is linked with the head capsule in the hypostomal region, with the cardines inserted in fossae at the anterior margin of the postgenae; the proximal region of the stipes is also connected with the head capsule by a membrane; in the resting position the entire maxillolabial complex is tightly retracted in the foramen orale, with the distal part covered by the labrum (Figs. 1C and 2C); additionally, the entire complex is anteriorly overtopped by the mandibles; laterally and ventrally it is tightly enclosed by the hypostoma. The longitudinal cardo (cd, Figs. 5 and 6A, B) is almost vertically oriented in its retracted position; proximally it is approximately T-shaped, with the lateral arm longer than the mesal one; distally it is bent mesad; its tip (cdt, Fig. 5B) forms a V-shaped angle, with a shorter ventral side; the V-shaped articulatory fossa of the cardo is linked with the stipital base; the longer dorsal part of the cardinal apex reaches into the stipital base at an angle resulting in a very tight articulation between both maxillary parts; the dorsal part of the cardinal apex is reinforced by transverse ridge (cdr, Fig. 5B); additionally it is connected with the stipes by a broad membranous band (cdm 5B); the surface of the cardo is largely smooth, but a longitudinal field of tooth-like denticles is present on its posterolateral side (Fig. 5B, box). The stipes (st, Figs. 5 and 6A, B) forms the main part of the maxilla; its outer surface is formed by the external stipital sclerite (Fig. 5); a deep groove runs longitudinally on its mesal edge (stgl; Fig. 5) and transversely across the stipes at its distal end (stgt, Fig. 5). The transverse aspect of the groove receives the labrum when the maxillolabial complex is retracted, whereas the prementum interacts with the longitudinal aspect mesally; a sclerotized internal ridge is present laterally (stlgl, Figs. 6B and 11D, E); the mesal margin of the external sclerite is broader than the lateral edge (stlpm, Fig. 5); the lateral margin is folded inwards distally and forms a broad, smooth distal surface (dsl, Fig. 6B) next to the palpus; the surface of the distal...
region and the groove of the external stipital sclerite is smooth. The three-segmented maxillary palp (pmx, Figs. 5 and 6A, B) is inserted mesally on the distal stipital surface; palpomere 1 is flattened and subtriangular (Fig. 6B); segment 2 is approximately cylindrical but narrower proximally; palpomere 3 is slightly flattened and approximately oval. The closely connected galea and lacinia are linked to the mesal side of the stipes by a broad membranous zone and a stabilizing inner stipital sclerite (stps, Fig. 11F–H); both endite lobes are weakly sclerotized, but with increasing thickness of the cuticle on their dorsal side (section series); the galea is subrectangular and bent mesad above the labium in its resting position (Fig. 5B); the distal margin of the galea, the galeal crown, bears a row of setae of different length and partially with a blunt apex (gacr, Figs. 5 and 6A, B); the maxillary comb is formed by a dense row of setae on the inner (ventral) side along the free margin; most of them are stout and blunt, but those closer to the galeal crown are more pointed apically (mxc, Figs. 5 and 6A); aside from this structure, an additional row of distinctly thinner microtrichia is present on the galea (mtgl, Fig. 6E); the lacinia appears also rectangular in lateral view, but its longer side forms an angle of 90° with the galea (lc, Fig. 6B); viewed from the internal side it appears subtriangular (Fig. 6A); at its free margin it bears a distinctly delimited lobe with a denticulate margin which bears a row of setae on its outer side (Fig. 6F); a proximad field of scale-like, strongly pectinate microtrichia is present on the inner side (Fig. 6C); distinctly separated scales with pectinate free margins are present anteriorly, followed posteriorly by increasingly hair-like single microtrichia.

Musculature (Figs. 9G–I, 11 and 12) M. craniocardinalis externus (M. 15): well-developed muscle; O: postgena, anterad the concavity around the foramen occipitale; I: with a long and thin tendon on the lateral base of the cardo. M. craniocardinalis internus (M. 16): absent. M. tentoriocardinalis (M. 17): a slightly curved muscle; O anterior tentorial arm close to the anterior tentorial pit, additionally on the directly bordering head capsule; I: difficult to identify, probably on the membrane between cardo and stipes (section series). M. tentoriostipitalis (M.18): a flat, triangular muscle; O: ventral surface of the mesal lamella of the anterior tentorial arms; I: with two tendons, which merge with the base of the internal stipital sclerite. M. craniolacinialis (M. 19): absent. M. stipitolacinialis (M. 20): a flat, small muscle; O: lateral stipital margin; I: distal internal stipital sclerite and base of the lacinia. M. stipitogalealis (M. 21): O: inner wall of the external stipital sclerite; I: with a short tendon on the inner base of the galea. M. stipitopalpalis externus (M. 22) or M. stipitopalpalis internus (M. 23): (not shown on the figure plates) thin muscle, difficult to identify in CT-scan. O: proximal inner wall of the external stipital sclerite lateral to M. 21; I: base of first palpomere. M. palpalpalis maxillae primus (M. 24): (not shown on the figure plates) O: base of palpomere 1; I: base of palpomere 2. The other palp muscles are not clearly recognizable on the serial sections.
3.6. Labium and distal hypopharynx

As part of the maxillolabial complex the labium is closely connected with the maxillae (Figs. 5A and 7) and also with the hypopharynx; its ventral surface is formed by the small postmentum (psm, Figs. 5A and 7B) and the distinctly larger prementum (Figs. 5A and 7G); the anterior upper surface is formed by the glossa (glo, Fig. 7A, C) and paraglossae (ppl, Fig. 7A, D, G, I), the posterior part by the hypopharynx (hy, Fig. 7A, G). The postmentum is a narrow, horsehoe-shaped sclerite; it is linked with the prementum by an extensive membranous area; the transitional area of sclerite and membrane displays a surface pattern with blunt denticles (Fig. 5A, box). The strongly sclerotized ventral side of the prementum forms a shield-like structure with a narrower proximal edge; the lateral premental margins (Fig. 11D, E) bear deep furrows (premental ditches) (pmd, Fig. 7G) where the mesal stipital margins fit into when the maxillolabial complex is retracted; proximally the furrows are confluent, thus separating the lower (ventral) premental surface (pmvs, Figs. 5A and 7G) from the lateral areas (pmls, Figs. 5A and 7G); additionally, a transverse groove is present on the ventral surface (pmtg, Figs. 5A and 7G), which forms a blunt angle and divides the prementum in a slightly larger rhomboid proximal region and a slightly shorter distal part (pmds, Figs. 5A and 7G); the distal edge of the labrum fits into this groove when the maxillolabial complex is retracted (Fig. 8L). The hypopharynx is formed by a nearly rectangular membranous complex elevated above the posterior half of the prementum (hy, Fig. 7A, C); it is stabilized by narrow premental arms arising proximally from the lateral margins of the prementum (psma, Figs. 7G and 12A); additionally it is mechanically reinforced by crest-shaped sclerites (hys, Fig. 11H), which are suspended at the premental arms and thickened anteriorly; moreover, the anterior hypopharynx is stabilized by sclerites (hypopharyngeal buttons), which anterovertically merge with the salivary sclerite (hysd, Fig. 11H); the distalmost hypopharynx protrudes above the salivarium and the region between the subglossal brushes (Figs. 7G and 11G); the concave anterolateral margins of the hypopharynx bear some cuticular denticles (Fig. 7K); the median part of the tongue-like structure is covered by hair-shaped microtrichia, which are arranged as short combs; similar structures are also present on the dorsal hypopharyngeal surface (Fig. 7E, J); posteriorly they are increasingly shaped like apically pectinate cuticular scales (Fig. 7F); the anterior region of the hypopharynx forms a smooth groove medially (hyg, Fig. 7A); the hypopharynx posteriorly extends into the labrofasciculare pouch (lbf, Fig. 10F); it also forms the ventral wall of the buccal tube (bt, Fig. 10F) and remaining prepharynx, with the sitophore as distinct sclerotized element (sp, Figs. 10F and 11B–G); The two-segmented labial palps (plb, Figs. 5A and 7A, G) insert distally in marginal premental furrows; palpmere 1 is flattened and appears slightly twisted; the club-shaped segment 2 is inserted on the flat anterior side of palpmere 1; setae are inserted on its dorsal, lateral and distal surface, but specific types of sensilla could not be identified with the applied techniques. The glossa is dorsally inserted in the distal membranous area of the prementum; it is stabilized by a small, elongate ventral glossal sclerite (glsvs, Fig. 11C) at its ventral base and by the larger paired dorsal glossal sclerites (glds, Fig. 11C–E) dorsolaterally; these sclerites are connected with the lower salivarium by ligamentous structures or continuously with it; additionally, ventrolateral basiglossal arms are present as anterior extensions; its anteromedian margin is rounded and smooth and ends in parallel-sided cuticular stripes with truncate apical edges (Fig. 7B); the remaining part of the glossa, the dorsal surface, is membranous. The glossa of the available specimens was strongly folded, probably as an artefact of the preparation (Fig. 7A, G); the surface of the glossa is covered with rectangular plate-like structures (Fig. 7C), which overlap in a scale-like manner, especially close to the glossal margins; the scales closest to the margin are elongated posteriorly, which results in an obliquely elongated distal margin (Fig. 7H); the anterior edges are rounded whereas the posterior ones are acutely prolonged; some of the scales are narrow and resembling microtrichia. The paraglossae are present as distinctly reduced membranous lobe-like structures lateral to the glossa; distally they are extended as flat elongate and parallel-sided cuticular stripes which converge medially (Fig. 7D, I); posteriorly the paraglossae are connected with the bases of the subglossal brushes (sglb, Figs. 7A, G, 11F, E); these structures behind the main part of the glossa are composed of stout, apically blunt, almost club-shaped setae arranged on flat plates; lateral these setae distally pectinate flat cuticular scales are arranged in five to eight rows; they are similar to the scales on the hypopharynx and on the inner area of the lacinia.

Musculature (Figs. 9J–L, 11 and 12): M. tentoriopraementalis inferior (29), O: posterior postgena below the tentorial bridge, mesad M. 42; I: the tendons of the paired muscle unite medially as a broad unpaired structure which extends over the tip of the postgena and inserts on the posterior premental margin. M. praementoparaglossalis (M. 31), O: approximately on half length of the lateral surface of the prementum; I: mostly on the ventral glossal sclerite, probably also on the anterior prementum. M. praementoglossalis (M. 32), well developed muscle, O: middle region of the ventral surface of the prementum; I: dorsal glossal sclerites and below the salivarium; difficult to separate from M. 38/39, especially with µCT-data. M. praementopalpalis externus (M. 34), not clearly recognizable, apparently difficult to separate from M. 31. M. palpopalpalis labii (M. 35/36), not distinctly recognizable in the available data sets, but at least M. 35 is apparently present. M. tentoriopharyngyalis (M42), O: posterior postgena below the posterior tentorial pit, laterad M. 29; I: distal sclerite of the hypopharynx/salivalary sclerite (both probably merged, s. salivarium).

3.7. Salivarium

The salivary duct (sald, Fig. 12B) opens at the labiohypopharyngeal connecting area at the base of the hypopharyngeal protrusion posterior and between the subglossal brushes; the opening is mainly sclerotized on its dorsal side (sv, Figs. 11F–H and 12B); A U-shaped sclerotized fold is present below the duct, originating between the subglossal brushes (Fig. 12B); additionally, the distal salivarium is linked with the distal sclerites of the hypopharynx, the hypopharyngeal button (hys, Fig. 11H); the U-shaped fold obliterates posterior to the labium and the ductus salivariales (sald, Fig. 12B) continues as simple thin tube into the thorax, where it connects with the salivary glands.

Musculature (Figs. 9J–L, 11 and 12A): M. hypopharyngosalivariales (M. 37), appears like two closely adjacent bundles, O: dorsolaterad from the lateral crest-shaped sclerite of the hypopharynx; I: dorsolateradly on the ductus salivariales and on the ventral side of the salivary sclerite and duct. M. praementososalivariales anterior & (or) posterior (M. 38, 39), O: on the proximal side of the prementum; I: on the lower

sclerotized area of the salivarium; very difficult to separate from M. 32, especially in the μ-CT-data set, possibly fused to it.

3.8. Labrum

The trapezoid sclerotized labrum is movably attached to the infolded distal clypeal margin (Figs. 8 and 10C); it is distinctly bilobed with a median notch at its distal margin (Fig. 8A, B); lateral labral arms are present as posteriorly directed processes at the posterolateral edges of the labrum (lbrp, Figs. 8B, C and 10C). When the mouthparts are retracted the distal corners of the stipites overtop the labral arms, while the distal margin of the labrum also articulates with the transverse grooves of the prementum (Fig. 9L) and stipites; the preoral space is efficiently sealed off by this interlocking mechanism that closely fits the sclerites of the labrum, prementum and stipites.

Musculature (Figs. 10D, 11 and 12): M. frontoepipharyngalis (M. 9), O: inner surface of the frontal area posteral the antennal bases; I: with a thin, long tendon (not recognizable in 3D reconstruction) proximally on the labrum, proximad the lateral labral arms.

3.9. Epipharynx

The anteriormost epipharyngeal part is the unsclerotized inner wall of the labrum. The main part of the epipharynx (ep, Figs. 8B and 10F) forms the semimembranous upper wall of the laterally open buccal cavity, and also the roof of the prepharynx including the buccal tube, which bears distinct bulges on the anterior part of its lateral wall (Fig. 11B–F). The prepharynx is formed by the fusion of the lateral edge of the posterior epipharynx with the corresponding edge of the posterior hypopharynx. The cuticular surface of the epipharynx is largely smooth, but rows of minute microtrichia are present, especially in the region of the buccal tube.

Musculature (Figs. 10D, F and 11): M. clypeopalatalis (M. 43) strongly developed, two distinct subcomponents: M43a: unpaired O: medially on the clypeus; I: on the transition area between the epipharynx and buccal tube. M43b: paired O: anterolateral and
central surface of clypeus. I: dorsal prepharynx at about the level of the insertion of the median longitudinal muscle. *M. clypeobuccalis* (M. 44), strongly developed. O: posteriorly on the convex part of the clypeus. I: at the level of the anatomical mouth opening. *M. pharyngoepipharyngealis* (Mpe): Longitudinal muscles connecting the anterior pharynx and the epipharynx: very strongly developed, paired lateral and unpaired median longitudinal muscle strands on the dorsal side of the prepharynx between the insertion sites of M. 43b and M. 45; the lateralmost bundle inserts on the posterior phragma of the ventral process of the sitophore plate.

3.10. Cephalic digestive tract

The anteriormost pharyngeal section is slightly oval in cross section, whereas the main part of the pharynx is approximately cylindrical (ph, Figs. 10D–F, 11H and 12); anteriorly the pharynx is continuous with the broader prepharynx. The border between the pharynx and the prepharynx is the anatomical mouth opening, which is generally marked by the frontal ganglion (fg, Fig. 11G) and the insertion of M. 45 (M45, Fig. 11H). The prepharynx has a wider lumen (pph, Figs. 10D–F and 11B–C) than the pharynx; the anteriormost pharyngeal section and the prepharynx are distinctly bent downward and run nearly parallel to the dorsal wall of the head capsule (Fig. 10F); anteriorly the prepharynx is abruptly bent posterovertrad and forms the broad buccal tube (bt, Figs. 10D–F) forming the roof of the buccal cavity; the posterior wall of the buccal tube is thick, especially on the anterior side, and it bears a vestiture of long microtrichia, is generally marked by the frontal ganglion (fg, Fig. 11G) and the insertion of M. 45 (M45, Fig. 11H). The prepharynx has a wider lumen (pph, Figs. 10D–F and 11B–C), but a fold is recognizable in the transition area. The ventral wall of the prepharynx is strongly sclerotized posteriorly the curve, thus forming a sitophore plate (sp, Figs. 10F and 11B–G); this structure bears a pair of longitudinal sclerotized processes, which have a different orientation at different levels; anteriorly they are directed ventrad and reach from the anterior section of the prepharynx to the level of the antennal insertions (spv, Fig. 11B–H); proximally the ventral processes are bent laterad thus stabilizing the posterior wall of the buccal tube (Fig. 11B–E); further posterd they are straight and elongated; to wards the end of the ventral processes, the sitophore plate extends increasingly over the lateral prepharyngeal wall; at the level of the anatomical mouth opening it forms dorsal processes which fuse with the ventral processes in the prepharyngeal wall, the processes are extended as short phragmata in this region (Fig. 11H); the dorsal processes (spd, Figs. 11G, H and 12A) extend posterd over a short distance of the pharynx, and are continuous with short lateral processes on the level of the openings of the pharyngeal glands (spl, Fig. 12B) (the processes of the sitophore plate are also referred to as oral arms). The osphagus is continuous with the posterior pharynx with the border approximately marked by the position of the tentorial bridge; aside from the lack of a layer of ring muscles it does not differ from the pharynx.

**Musculature (Figs. 10D, F. 11 and 12):**

*M. frontohypo pharyngealis* (M. 41), two very distinct subunits; anterior subunit (M. 41a), O: phragma of the acetabulum of the antennal insertion. I: lateral processes of the sitophore plate at the level of the pharyngeal gland opening; posterior subunit (M. 41b), O: frontal area, posterad the origin of M. 46; I: on the lateral dorsal processes of the sitophore plate at the level of the openings of the pharyngeal glands. *M. frontobuccalis anterior* (M. 45), rather weakly developed, closely adjacent along midline, thus appearing unpaired, O: on the inner surface of the frontal area, directly posterd the frontoclypeal sulcus; I: mediad on the dorsal side of the pharynx, posterior to the frontal ganglion (Fig. 11G). *M. frontobuccalis posterior* (M. 46), weakly developed (not visible in 3D-reconstructions), O: on the frontal area, directly posterd M. 45; I dorsomedially on the pharynx posterd M. 45, approximately on the level of the insertion of (M. 41) (Fig. 12B). *M. tentoriobuccalis anterior/posterior* (M. 48/M. 50), strongly developed muscle, O: on the anterior process of the tentorial bridge with a long tendon. I: ventrad on the sitophore plate, between its ventral processes. *M. tentoriopharyngealis* (M. 52) (Fig. 10F), a very small muscle, O: tentorial bridge laterad M 48/50; I: ventral side of pharynx at the level of the suboesophageal ganglion. *M. transversalis buccae* (M. 67), a layer of transverse muscles between the dorsal processes of the sitophore plate, anterior to the frontal ganglion (Fig. 11F, G) and anterior to an additional layer of transverse muscles on the ventral side of the pharynx at the level of M. 45. *M. annularis stomadai* (M. 68), a thin layer of ring muscles around the pharynx from the area of the openings of the pharyngeal glands to the region of the tentorial bridge. *M. longitudinalis stomadai* (M. 69), weakly developed layer of longitudinal muscles below the ring musculature of the pharynx.

3.11. Cephalic glands

Several glands are present in the head. The mandibular glands open via sclerotized ducts (mdg, Fig. 11C–F) on the mandibular base at the mandalus. Large reservoirs are present proximad these channels in the ventral region of the head capsule (mdg, Fig. 11G, H). Gland tissue in the lumen of the mandibles, especially in the ventral region (Fig. 11A–E), forms the intramandibular gland. Maxillary glands were absent in the examined specimens. The very large pharyngeal glands (phpg, Figs. 11C, H and 12A–D) ("propharyngeal glands"; Boonen and Billen, 2016) are placed above the intrabuccal pouch and overreach it distinctly posteriorly; the anterior part of the assemblage of gland cells is mediadly divided by the large M. 48/50. The large pharyngeal glands (pg Fig. 12B–G) ("postpharyngeal glands"; Boonen and Billen, 2016) open laterally into the pharynx (phgo, Fig. 12B) a short distance posterd the anatomical mouth opening; voluminous reservoirs are present in this region and divide into several elongated sacs; they almost reach the region of the tentorial bridge and decrease in width posteriorly.

3.12. Brain and suboesophageal complex

The brain (br, Figs. 10D–F and 12E–H) forms a compact structural unit with the suboesophageal complex, with a narrow central passage for the pharynx; it is voluminous in relation to the size of the head capsule (brW/hW: 0.65); in dorsal view it appears trapezoidal with rounded edges and deep folds on the anterior, posterdorsal and posterior side; it is located posterd the compound eyes on the level of the foramen occipitale; the mushroom bodies are very large and the olfactory lobes are also well-developed; in contrast, the optic lobes are very small compared to the brain size. The optic neuropils are thin; the lamina is hardly visible and located within the long optical nerve; medulla and lobula are small neuropils in the lateral brain, basal to the optical nerve; the thin optical nerves (no, Fig. 10D, E) originate on the anterior side of the protocerebrum and run obliquely towards the compound eyes between fibers of M. 11. The antennal nerves originate on the anterior tips of the deutocerebral subunits of the
brain (nan, Figs. 10D, E, 11CfH and 12A, D); they run nearly straight anterad and enter the scapus.

3.13. Fat body

Fat body cells are loosely arranged between other internal structures of the head, they are also present in the lumen of the labrum, mandibles and maxillae.

3.14. Tracheae

Two pairs of large trachea entering the head capsule are strongly narrowed in the region of the occipital foramen (tra, Fig. 12G). The dorsal main tubes widen strongly in the cephalic lumen; a large branch enters the posterior part of the head capsule and additional paired branches extend into the ventrolateral regions, where they mainly run between fibers of M. II; anteriorly they are closely adjacent with the lateral side of the brain. The paired ventral tracheae split into two branches shortly after entering the cephalic lumen; the mesal branches run along the sides of the pharynx, whereas the external ones extend towards the anterior head region below the anterior tentorial arms. Many smaller branches originating from the larger ones supply the organs of the head.

4. Discussion

4.1. Standardized anatomical investigation of Formicidae

Despite extensive morphological data in several phylogenetic and morphological studies, the available anatomical data on the head of Formicidae are fragmentary. In the present contribution we suggest a standardized morphological treatment of body regions or tagmata of ants to increase the inventory of morphological data for the group, providing detailed and well-documented morphological data sets. This can help to resolve persisting phylogenetic problems and especially help to properly place fossil taxa within a phylogenetic framework. More importantly, it will allow tracing character evolution in detail, consequently allowing the identification of key phenotypic changes through the formicid phylogeny and their evolutionary and ecological consequences. A first example of this was presented in a study on the mesosoma of Myrmecia nigrocincta Smith, F., 1858, which employed similar methods to those used here (Liu et al., 2019, in press).

The procedure applied here is even suitable if only a minimum of specimens or even a single one is available, although several specimens as used here allow for the use of more techniques and ultimately yield a more complete morphological data set. A first step is the documentation of the external surface with microphotography (e.g. Wipfler et al., 2016; also used extensively on antweb.org). This is suitable for recording the colouration and sculpture of the cuticle, and with some limitation also the degree of sclerotization, with strongly sclerotized areas often darkened and semi-membranous or membranous areas usually depigmented and whitish. Specimens dried at critical point can be used for SEM micrographs, with a rotatable specimen holder (Pohl, 2010) to obtain all standard views from a single specimen, always with a homogenous black background and minimum charging effects. The same dried specimen can also be used for μ-computed tomography (μCT), providing perfectly aligned image stacks suitable for computer-based anatomical 3D reconstruction. While μCT produces perfect datasets for 3D reconstruction, some features such as gland tissue and muscle striation as well as the tracheal system (although see Webster et al. (2015) for a discussion of possible artifacts caused by this method) can be better investigated using histological sections. Finally, the stepwise re-soaking of the specimen with an ethanol series of increasing concentration allows serial sectioning. The use of Araldite as embedding medium and diamond knives yield section series of a thickness of 0.5—1 mm of high quality. An additional method suitable for small or very small and transparent specimens is confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) (Wipfler et al., 2016). This was for example successfully applied in a study on the maxillolabial complex of Sparassidae (Hymenoptera, Platygastroidea) (Popovici et al., 2014). The potential of this technique for anatomical studies on ants should be further explored in the future. To obtain detailed data on the mouthparts, especially the maxillolabial complex, it is advisable to dissect them and separate maxillae and labium from each other. Otherwise not all potentially interesting characters can be documented. At least two specimens are required for this approach, however, and dissection can be a great challenge if the studied species is small. In the present study, a multitude of worker specimens had to be used as the small size of W. affinis (Head length ca. 650 μm) made preparations very challenging and several specimens were needed to get useable samples of all the different structures.

A standardized and detailed documentation of external and internal structures of the head as well as other characters in Formicidae (and other groups of Hymenoptera) appears highly desirable and could be rewarding in light of the rather scattered documentation presently available in the literature. Many structures, especially the ones difficult to access, are not yet documented in enough detail to allow phylogenetic or evolutionary inferences based on them. Examples of potentially interesting characters not yet documented in detail are the distal hypopharynx with the hypopharyngeal groove, the prepharynx and its cuticular extensions, the musculature especially of the pharynx and prepharynx, the endoskeleton, especially the tentorium, the inner side of the lacinia, the surface structure of the glossa and the mandibular articulation.

4.2. Homology problems

The terminology used in both older and contemporary studies on ant anatomy is only partly compatible with the general standard in entomology (e.g. Snodgrass, 1935; Beutel et al., 2014). This is especially so for the interpretation of structures of the cranial digestive system, which differs from that in studies on other groups of insects, including non-formicid groups of Hymenoptera (Vilhelmsen, 1996; Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007; Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016). What is designated as anterior pharynx or just pharynx in anatomical studies on ants (e.g. Forbes, 1938, Whelden, 1957a,b; Peregrine et al., 1973, Hansen et al., 1999), is clearly identified as prepharynx here, based on the position of the frontal ganglion and the insertion of M. frontopharyngalis anterior (M45) and M. frontohypopharyngalis (M41), constant landmarks indicating the prehypopharyngeal-pharyngeal border. In contrast to the pharynx, the prepharynx is usually shaped like a transverse crescent or a flattened U in cross section, with is dorsal and ventral walls formed by the more or less sclerotized posterior epi- and hypopharynx, respectively. In the investigated ants the region of the “buccal tube”, actually a strongly bent anterior part of the prepharynx, is U-shaped, while the remaining prepharynx is more crescent-shaped to transversely oval. The buccal tube was previously interpreted as part of the pharynx (e.g. Hansen et al., 1999). The region designated as posterior pharynx in studies on Formicidae (such as Peregrine et al., 1973) is consequently the precerebral pharynx followed by the postcerebral pharynx, which is posteriorly continuous with the oesophagus. The pharynx can be distinguished from the prepharynx by a very thin intima, a layer of thin muscles, and longitudinal folds for attachment of dilators (e.g. Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007), usually dorsolaterally, laterally, and ventrolaterally, although such folds are missing in the studied ant
Fig. 12. Photomicrographs of cross sections through the head of *W. affinis*. From A-H continuously further back in the head capsule. Abbreviations: **ata** — anterior tentorial arm, **br** — brain, **cd** — cardo, **ce** — compound eye, **con** — connective, **hy** — hypopharynx, **ibp** — infrabuccal pouch, **lc** — lacinia, **M1** — *M. tentorioscapalis* anterior, **M2** — *M. tentorioscapalis* posterior, **M3** — *M. tentorioscapalis* lateralis, **M4** — *M. tentorioscapalis* medialis, **M9** — *M. craniomandibularis* internus, **M11** — apodeme of M11, **M11′** — M11, direct fibre, **M12** — *M. craniomandibularis* externus, **M12′** — apodeme of M12, **M15** — *M. craniocardinalis* externus, **M17** — *M. tentoriocardinalis*, **M18** — *M. tentoristipitalis*, **M29** — *M. tentoriopraementalis* inferior, **M37** — *M. hypopharyngosalivarialis*, **M41a** — *M. frontohypopharyngealis*, lateral aspect, **M41b** — *M. frontohypopharyngealis*, dorsal aspect, **M42** — *M. tentrio-hypopharyngealis*, **M44** — *M. tentorialhypopharyngealis*, **M45** — *M. frontobuccalis* anterior, **M46** — *M. frontobuccalis* posterior, **M48/50** — *M. tentoriobuccalis* anterior/posterior, **M52** — *M. tentoriopharyngealis*, **M67** — *M. transversalis buccae*, **M68** — *M. anularis* stomodaei, **M69** — *M. longitudinalis* stomodaei, **Mpe** — *M. pharyngopsisophasalis*, **ml** — median lamella of the tentorium, **nan** — nervus antennalis, **pgr** — postgenal ridge, **ph** — pharynx, **phg** — pharyngeal gland, **pma** — premental arm, **ppocc** — postocciput, **ppphg** — prepharyngeal gland, **pshg** — opening of the pharyngeal gland into the pharynx, **psts** — internal stipital sclerite, **sald** — salivarial duct, **spd** — sitophore plate dorsal process, **spl** — lateral process of sitophore plate, **st** — stipes, **sv** — salivarium, **tb** — tentorial bridge, **tra** — tracheae.
species and apparently also other aculeates (Duncan, 1939), most likely in connection with the reduction of pharyngeal dilators (see Table 1). The re-interpretation of the foregut implies that the "propharyngeal glands" (e.g. Boonen and Billen, 2016) should be addressed as prepharyngeal glands, and the "postpharyngeal glands" (e.g. Peregrine et al., 1973; Schoeters and Billen, 1996) as pharyngeal glands (see Results).

The "infrabuccal sac" is commonly listed among the autapomorphies of Formicidae (e.g. Bolton, 2003; Boudinot, 2015). The common positional description "between labium and hypopharynx" would describe the space around the opening of the salivarium below the overhanging distal tip of the hypopharynx. However, Bolton's (2003) description of this sac as "containing particulate matter, usually derived from food" indicates that he is referring to the infrabuccal pouch, which is part of the hypopharynx instead of the space between it and the labium. In any case this character would not represent an autapomorphy of Formicidae. A distal hypopharynx overhanging parts of the labium/salivarium also occurs in other groups of Aculeata (see e.g. Duncan, 1939), and the infrabuccal pouch is an autapomorphy of the entire Hymenoptera (Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007).

Other terms only used in myrmecology are mandalus, trulleum and clypeolabial. They all refer to structures at the base of the mandibles, apparently without homologues in other groups of Hymenoptera (see also e.g. Hermann et al., 1971). In fact, a trulleum only occurs in a subset of Myrmicines as a structure delimited by the canthellus and the structure identified as trulleum in Gotwald (1969) is actually the secondary mandibular articulation surface in most ant species. This mistake has been repeated in other studies (such as Brandão et al., 2010). The misdesignation of a structure that is not present seriously hampers the understanding of the basal mandibular area confounding a very important structure in the secondary mandibular articulation. This is one possible reason that this structure has received comparatively little focus in previous studies.

A conspicuous labial structure is the subglossal brush. Brushes of setae in this position also occur in other hymenopterans and are usually designated as basiparaglossal brush (Popovici et al., 2014; Hymenoptera Anatomy Ontology: http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002199) or paraglossal serticles together with their cuticular base (e.g. Cowley, 1959). As the paraglossae are usually strongly reduced in ants, the potential homology of the subglossal brush with a paraglossal structure has been previously neglected in the ant literature.

### 4.3. Character evolution

Despite of a high degree of specialization, Formicidae maintain a number of groundplan features of Hymenoptera. Among them are the concave shape of the occipital region - obviously not affected by the prognathism — the absence of frontal ec dysial lines (Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007) (although note that median lines are visible in many ant species, possibly derived from the coronal suture, [B. Boudinot pers. obs.]), the inflected clypeus (Vilhelmsen, 1996, e.g. Fig. 10C), the maxillolabial complex (Beutel et al., 2008, Fig. 5A), the sitophore plate (sp, Fig. 11), the infrabuccal pouch (ibp, Figs. 10F, 11 and 12), and strongly developed longitudinal epipharyngeal muscles (Mpe, Figs. 10D and 11, Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007). A postgenal bridge (pbg, Figs. 1C and 2C) is not a groundplan feature of Hymenoptera, but probably evolved early in the group (e.g. Vilhelmsen, 1996; Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007). Whether the galeal crown (gacr, Figs. 5 and 6A) is a groundplan apomorphy of hymenoptera is not clear at present; a distal patch or row of setae on the galea was observed in basal representatives of Hymenoptera (Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007), but also in parasitic groups (Popovici et al., 2014) and members of Aculeata (Cowley, 1959).

Very few cephalic features link Formicidae with related groups of Aculeata. The maxillary comb (mxc, Figs. 5A and 6A) is probably generally present in ants and a row of setae in a very similar position also occurs in Vespidae (Duncan, 1939), Crabronidae (Cowley, 1959), and Scoliidae (Osten, 1982). Features of the mandibular base like the lateroventral process (abductor swelling, abs, Figs. 2D, 4C') that is internally connected to the adductor apodeme and the triangular processes of the distal postgenae (pht, Fig. 2D) that mesally frame the mandibles are probably also part of the groundplan of Formicidae. These features are also described in Scoliidae (Osten, 1982) and Pison (Cowley, 1959), but are missing in Vespa (Duncan 1939). A triangular process of the clypeus (vclp, Fig. 2D) has not been described previously, but according to Osten (1982) the postgenal process occurs together with a ventral process of the clypeus in Scoliidae and other hymenopterans, which is arguably homologous to the triangular process described here. This is a potential derived character linking Formicidae with other Aculeata.

Generally, the inventory of cephalic muscles of ants is simplified compared to more basal hymenopteran lineages (Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007). In contrast, the inventory of cephalic muscles of Formicidae is very similar to what is found in members of their sister group, the Aidea, for instance in Pison (Cowley, 1959; Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016) (see Table 1). They differ mostly in details of the arrangement caused by limited shifts of origins and insertions.

Formicidae is characterized by an entire series of autapomorphic cephalic features, complex evolutionary changes affecting most external and internal elements of the head. A conspicuous apomorphy in very clear contrast to other groups of Hymenoptera (e.g. Beutel and Vilhelmsen, 2007; Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016) is the prognathous orientation of the head. Frontal lobes (frl, Figs. 1A and 2A) and frontal carinae (frc, Figs. 1A and 2A) may be apomorphic groundplan features of Formicidae, the latter occur even in stem group ants such as Sphodromyrmex (Barden and Grimald, 2014), although they are indistinct or reduced in some groups. A feature strikingly differing from other hymenopterans is a labrum which can be interlocked with the maxillolabial complex (Fig. 10F, Keller, 2011). This configuration, which results in the formation of a tightly closed preoral cavity, is likely a complex apomorphy of Formicidae. An articulation with the stipes is arguably a groundplan apomorphy of Formicidae and an interlocking mechanism involving the palp a derived condition that evolved within the family (Keller, 2011). Also connected with the tight closure of the preoral space are modifications of the maxillolabial complex. In _W. affinis_ a transverse groove of the prementum (pmtg, Figs. 5A and 7G) supports the interlocking with the labrum. This feature is variable within the Formicidae (Keller, 2011). Further modifications are the deep premental ditches (pmd, Figs. 5A and 7G) which interact with the mesal margins of the stipites. In _W. affinis_ this interlocking is especially tight through the longitudinal groves of the stipites (stgl, Fig. 5), which are part of a stiptal groove that occurs very variably in the ants (Keller, 2011). The antennae and their articulation are also distinctly modified. A concave antennal insertion area (peritorular groove, ptc, Fig. 3D), a complex torulus (to, Figs. 1B, 2B and 3D, F) forming a sheath around the basal articulatory part of the scapus (Keller, 2011), and the geniculate shape of the antenna are likely another complex of autapomorphies of (crown)group ants. An alternative interpretation of the concave peritorular area would be that the face between the frontal carinae is raised, resulting in laterally oriented toruli. However, this state is not observed in some groups, such as Leptanillinae (B. Boudinot, pers. obs.). The mandibles are also modified, with a secondary (dorsal) mandibular articulation (dma, Figs. 2A, C and 4D) that is formed like a gliding apparatus instead of the typical
The entire anterior cephalic feeding apparatus is obviously highly deviating from this type. It is apparent that a reliable evolutionary interpretation will require additional comparative studies (Barden and Grimaldi, 2016, B. Boudinot pers. obs.). Another potential apomorphy of the mandible is the mandalus (ma, Fig. 4C). Mandibular grooves and mandibular glands appear in many aculeate hymenopterans, however, the groove is not always associated with the gland opening and the gland opening is often not shaped like the formicine mandalus (e.g. Hermann et al., 1971), the homologies are thus not entirely clear. The deep hypopharyngeal groove (hyg, Fig. 7A) with different surface properties is possibly homologies are thus not entirely clear. The deep hypopharyngeal groove (hyg, Fig. 7A) with different surface properties is possibly autapomorphic for ants. It has been described by Gotwald (1969) as a general feature of the ant hypopharynx although his drawings are not detailed enough to determine, whether the structure of the groove is always developed in the same way as in W. affinis. In other hymenopterans a slight depression of the distal hypopharynx is described for example in Vespa (Duncan, 1939) and Pison (Cowley, 1959). This might consist a preceding stage of the hypopharyngeal groove of ants but more information is required to ascertain this. The entire anterior cephalic feeding apparatus is obviously highly modified in ants.
Several putative cephalic innovations require further clarification. The tentorium of ants is apparently strongly modified, with mesally directed lamellae (ml, Fig. 10A–C) of the anterior arms (ata, Fig. 10A–C) and lacking the ventrolateral lamellae of other aculeates such as Sapyga and Pison (Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016). Tentorial apomorphies also include distinctly reduced or missing dorsal arms, and also a secondary bridge which is largely or completely fused to the postgenae (e.g. Khalife et al., 2018; Melissotarsus), even though this condition also occurs in other groups of Aculeata (Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016). Information on more ant species including extinct ones and members of basal branches would be necessary to clarify the evolution of the tentorium. A potential autapomorphy of the digestive tract is a very sharp bend of the prepharynx (pph, Fig. 10F). A bent cibarium was coded as present for all aculeates as well as some other apocritans by Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen (2016). However, their illustrations (e.g. Fig. 1H) suggest that the bend is not quite as abrupt as in the investigated ant species. It is conceivable that the degree of bending of the prepharynx depends on the position of the maxillolabial complex. This could not be evaluated in the present study as the maxillolabial complex was retracted in all specimens. As in the case of other characters, detailed information on more species is required for a reliable interpretation. Another apomorphic feature of ant workers is the vestigial condition of the optic neuropils, which is obviously related with a more or less strongly reduced condition of the compound eyes.

The fragmentary information on the cephalic musculature of ants makes it almost impossible to assess the phylogenetic relevance of musculoskeletal features. The absence of M. verticopharyngalis (M. 51) is a potential autapomorphy of Formicidae. However, drawings in Lubbock (1877) suggest that a postcerebral dorsal pharyngeal dilator may be present in an unidentified formicine ant. The presence of M.41a in Wasmannia (Figs. 10D, 11F and 12A) (and Acromyrmex) is also difficult to interpret. This subunit of M. frontohypopharyngalis originates on the antennal insertion area (aphr, Fig. 10C) and inserts on the processes of the sitophore plate (spl, Fig. 12B). A similar muscle has not been described before, but it is possible that this unusual bundle has been overlooked in other species examined. Also possible is that this muscle is homologous to M. 47 (Oby2, M. tentoriooralis) which originates on the lycophy- frontal ridge and inserts on the process of the sitophore plate (oral arm) in Pison chilense (Zimmermann and Vilhelmsen, 2016). In both cases a distinct shift of the origin of this muscle has to be assumed. The configuration of the mandibular adductor with a combination of different fibre types (Gronenberg et al., 1997) is certainly important in a functional context. However, the very fragmentary documentation does not allow a phylogenetic interpretation at present.

The optical system, including light sense organs and related parts of the brain (e.g. optic lobes), is a character system affected by differentiation of different muscle types (Gronenberg et al., 1997) is certainly important in a functional context. However, the very fragmentary documentation does not allow a phylogenetic interpretation at present.

The conformation of the maxillolabial complex was retracted in all specimens. As in the case of other characters, detailed information on more species is required for a reliable interpretation. Another apomorphic feature of ant workers is the vestigial condition of the optic neuropils, which is obviously related with a more or less strongly reduced condition of the compound eyes.

4.4. Functional aspects

Head structures of ants vary considerably in correlation with different life habits (Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990). Gibb et al. (2015) described modifications linked with the trophic position and feeding habits of ant species. The mandibles likely show the widest spectrum of variation (Gotwald, 1969). In contrast, the inventory of cephalic musculature of ants is remarkably conservative as far as presently known. While the musculature of the digestive tract is not well studied so far, the musculature of the mouthparts and antennae is generally the same in the different ants and many other aculeates studied so far (e.g. Lubbock, 1877; Janet, 1905, Table 1).

Ants show a wide variety of dietary preferences. Leaf cutting ants process plant parts and use them for fungiculture, there are numerous predaceous species, harvester ants which forage seeds, and also species relying on plants saps or sugary exudates of hemipterans (Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990; Kaspari, 2000). The typical ant mandible is characterized by a torqued and triangular distal part. This shape is likely suitable for different functions such as nest building, foraging, and also defence (Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990; Gronenberg et al., 1997). While many species deviate from the typical pattern, such as trap jaw ants (e.g. Larabee and Suarez, 2014), snap jaw ants (Gronenberg et al., 1998b; Larabee et al., 2018) and predators, such as Myrmecia (Gotwald, 1969), some of the largest ant genera including Pheidole, Camponotus, Tetramorium, and Crematogaster show the typical triangular, torqued mandible shape that can also be observed in W. affinis (Gotwald, 1969). All these genera are considered as predominantly “generalists” (Lach et al., 2010; Rosumek et al., 2018). It seems reasonable to assume that the triangular and torqued mandible is part of the ant groundplan. It does not only occur in the majority of ants (Gotwald, 1969; Keller, 2011) but also in some other groups of Aculeata, such as Vespidae (Keller, 2011). Gotwald (1969) speculated that this mandibular shape might be especially suitable for foraging and perhaps brood care, appearing to be well-suited to grasp objects such as larvae or food items. However, empirical evidence for this mechanical suitability is currently lacking. The torque of the mandible also allows the use of the maxillolabial complex while the mandible is closed, which might also be an advantage over the planar mandibular type. It is an interesting point that while the triangular, torqued mandible has obviously been very successful in the evolution of the group, extinct and extant Formicidae also exhibit a huge variability in their mandibular shapes (see e.g. discussion in Lattke et al., 2018). The mandible was possibly an important driver in ant evolution, an aspect that would certainly be interesting to explore further in future studies.

Not only the mandible itself, also the mandibular musculature varies among species, apparently in correlation with different feeding habits. The adductor (M. 11) was intensively investigated in several studies focused on functional morphology (Gronenberg et al., 1997; Paul and Gronenberg, 1999; Paul, 2001; Paul et al., 2002). A feature unknown in other groups of insects is the differentiation of different fibre types. A part of the fibres is directly attached on the apodeme. They are either specialized on rapid movements (usually with short sarcomeres) or on slow, powerful contractions (usually with long sarcomeres). Additionally, fibres with slow contraction properties which are attached on thread-like cuticular processes of the apodeme occur (Gronenberg et al., 1997). An obvious advantage is the distinctly increased origin area relative to the available insertion area (Paul and Gronenberg, 1999). The distribution of different fibre types varies strongly, and also the structure of the adductor apodeme and the attachment angles, which depend on the shape of the head capsule (Paul, 2001). Numerous fast fibres and only few fibres attached on threads are present in some specialised predators with elongated mandibles like Myrmecia and Harpegnathos. In contrast, directly inserted slow fibres are dominant in power-amplified trap-jaw ants of the genus Odontomachus, which is characterized by an elongated head and very long apodemes. After fixing the mandibles with the arresting mechanism of the ventral articulation, these fibres build up enormous force. Fast fibres on a specialized region of the apodeme are used to release the locking
mechanism which lead to a strongly accelerated mandible movement (Gronenberg, 1995). The µCT data sets available for this study allow only discrimination between directly and indirectly attached fibres. However, the distribution of different types can be estimated with a histological section series of Wasmannia. Even without precise measurements of the sarcomere length, the sections reveal that they are shorter in directly attached fibres. The distribution in the examined species is similar to that observed in the relatively closely related genus Atta by Gronenberg et al. (1997). Two rather small fast bundles that attach laterally and mesally on the apodeme are enclosed by numerous indirect fibres. The muscle as a whole is distinctly smaller in Wasmannia, comprises fewer fibers, and has a distinctly smaller area of origin. The size of the muscle in leaf cutting ants is apparently correlated with the energy—intensive activity of processing leaves (Roces and Lighten, 1995). The very similar arrangement of the muscles in Atta, Acromyrmex (unpublished observation A. Richter) and Wasmannia suggests that the distribution of fibre types might be phylogenetically conserved. However, more data are required for a reliable evaluation of this character system. Once more data are available it would also be interesting to further correlate different fibre types with the different mandibular shapes. Possibly, as the triangular torqued mandible is prevalent through most ants, there is a certain fibre type distribution that has been especially successful within the group.

In contrast to the mandibles, the general configuration of the maxillolabial complex within Formicidae is largely conserved. One varying feature is the arrangement and shape of different types of setae (Gotwald, 1969). The interactions between the maxillae, labium and labrum also vary as shown here and especially by Keller (2011). The close connection between the different elements enables a strong retraction of the complex, which tightly closes the preoral space and guarantees independent movements of the mandibles. It is conceivable that this has contributed to the broad spectrum of morphological and functional variation of the mandibles. The maxillolabial complex is mainly used for food uptake and grooming (e.g. Gotwald, 1969). Different additional functions can occur, as for instance the maintenance of the fungal gardens in leaf cutting ants (e.g. Weber, 1972). Rows of setae and microtrichia on the labium, galea and lacinia likely play an important role in different functional contexts. Like species of most other groups of Hymenoptera, adult ants exclusively consume liquid food (e.g. Glancey et al., 1981). Small solid food particles are filtered by hairy structures of the epi- and hypopharynx and stored in the infra-buccal pouch. Solenopsis invicta female workers can filter all particles >0.88 µm (Glancey et al., 1981) and the smallest female workers of Acromyrmex octospinosus particles of 10 µm diameter (Quinlan and Cherrett, 1978). Female workers of Camponotus pennsylvanicus filter particles larger than 150 µm. However, by transferring food between female workers via trophallaxis and renewed filtration, they finally reach a distinctly smaller particle size (Eisner and Happ, 1962). According to Febvay and Kermarrec (1981), A. octospinosus also uses the lacinial combs to filter food in front of the functional mouth opening. A small pellet is formed in the infra-buccal pouch. It was assumed that it is regurgitated by female workers (e.g. Quinlan and Cherrett, 1978). However, Hansen et al. (1998) showed that Camponotus modoc Wheeler, 1910 digests at least a part of this substrate with gland secretions and bacteria. If this extraintestinal form of food processing plays a role in many ant species, the tightly closed preoral space might be advantageous in the context of this function. The uptake of liquids by ants was investigated by Paul and Roces (2003) and can function in two different ways, either through “sucking” or “licking”. All species examined so far can use both techniques on principle, although the preference varies according to species and situation. The licking movement of the glossa is a combination of muscular force and inherent elasticity of the structure. Like in other groups of Hymenoptera, the glossa is retracted by contraction of M. praemamentoglossalis and Mpraemomentoparaglossalis and extended by its inherent elasticity (e.g. Osten, 1982; Paul et al., 2002). The strongly developed prepharyngeal sucking pump described in the present work is certainly crucial for the uptake of liquid food. The surface structure of the glossa is also likely important for the functioning of the maxillolabial complex. The surface structure of the glossa of W. affinis is distinctly different from other investigated ants such as for instance Acromyrmex (unpublished observation A. Richter) and Neoponera (previously Pachycondyla) (Paul and Roces, 2003). Interestingly, the structure found in A. aspersus is similar to what is found in other representatives of Aculeata, like for instance Vespa (Baranek et al., 2018), whereas it differs distinctly in W. affinis. Reliable functional interpretations are presently not possible as the surface structure of the glossa of Formicidae is scarcely documented.

Considering Formicidae as a whole, selective pressure linked with feeding habits and other aspects of the biology had a major impact on the mandibles and their musculature. The less variable maxillolabial complex is a “multipurpose tool” for tasks important for all ants, especially for the uptake of liquid food and grooming.

The functional interpretations presented here should be considered as preliminary, but they serve to highlight how much we have to learn about the basic functional anatomy of this dominant group of insects. Further comparative studies of a broad sample of species representing the major formicid clades and considered in context of their variation in their sociobiology, ecology, and behaviour are required to understand anatomical variation and its functional significance. Such an effort to fully document the variation in ant morphology using next-generation imaging tools, and the causes and consequences of that variation, will increase our understanding of this evolutionary success story.
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Appendix A

Characters of Formicidae

The following character list can be seen as a list of potentially interesting characters of the studied species. We did not perform comparative observations or formal phylogenetic analyses to determine useful characters, so this list can be seen as a very preliminary idea as a starting point for future studies attempting to include anatomical data in phylogenetic studies on Formicidae. For many characters we don’t have a lot of data so future studies will be necessary to confirm their usefulness. The characters are a mix of previously used characters of phylogenetic studies (such as Keller 2011 and Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016) and taxonomic characters (e.g. of Cuezzo et al. 2016) as well as characters defined here for the first time. Presumptive groundplan apomorphies of Hymenoptera are marked as °, and potential apomorphies of Formicidae as *. Characters applied to Formicidae for the first time in this study are marked with ^. Highly variable characters are in square brackets and characters with very scarce information in braces.

1. *Orientation of head: (0) hypognathous; (1) prognathous. Prognathism is an apomorphic groundplan feature of Formicidae females (Bolton, 2003; Serna et al. 2010, Keller 2011). The formicid type of prognathism differs strongly from what is found in Neuropterida and Coleoptera. The posterior side of the head is concave and fully exposed and the foramen occipitale narrow. This results in a high movability of the head even including a hypognathous posture. In contrast, the posterior part of the head capsule is retracted in the prothorax in beetles and neuropterids (e.g. Beutel et al. 2011), and the movability therefore limited.

2. °Shape of occipital region (oc, Fig. 1C; 2C, F): (0) convex; (1) concave. A concave occipital region is a groundplan apomorphy of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007). This condition is maintained in Formicidae.

3. *Size of compound eyes (Fig. 2G): (0) large; (1) small. Small size of the compound eyes of workers is possibly a groundplan apomorphy of ants (Bolton 2003 infere eyes of moderate size as part of the ant groundplan). Some species are completely eyeless (e.g. Keller 2011), whereas rather large compound eyes are present in others, especially in the subfamily Pseudomyrmecinae, in Myrmecinae, in the genus Gignatiops and in some other Formicinae (e.g. Gesomyrmex, Opisthopsis, Santschiella). The eyes are also relatively well-developed in the ponerine genus Harpegnathos, and in Cataulacus of Myrmicinae (AntWeb: https://www.AntWeb.org/). The eyes are usually sexually dimorphic and distinctly larger in males.
4. Ocelli: (0) absent; (1) present. Usually present in alates. Missing in workers of many ant species but present in some subfamilies such as Formicinae (Keller 2011, Char. 48), present in the ant ground plan according to Bolton (2003).

5. Preocular carinae (poc, Fig. 1A, B; 2A, B): (0) absent; (1) present. Present in various Attini and possibly an apomorphy of a subgroup of the tribe including the studied species (Bolton 2003: The dacetine tribe group). Missing in the outgroups (Pison: Cowley 1959; Vespa: Duncan 1939, Xyelidae: Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007) and possibly not occurring outside of ants.

6. *Frontal carinae (frc, Fig. 1A, B; 2A, B): (0) absent; (1) present. Generally present in Formicidae although inconspicuous or reduced in many species (Bolton 2003, Bolton 2013). Apparently not present in the outgroups (Pison: Cowley 1959; Vespa: Duncan 1939, Xyelidae: Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007).

7. Postgenal ridge (pgr, Fig. 1C; 10C): (0) absent; (1) present. Apparently present in all Aculeata and also occurring in some other groups of Apocrita, such as for instance Gasteruptiidae of Evanioidea (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016).

8. *Frontal suture: (0) as ecdysial zone of weakness; (1) smooth surface. The frontal suture seems so be generally absent in adult hymenopterans. That ridges described for Vespa (Duncan 1939) and Pison (Sphecidae) (Cowley 1959) are modified or vestigial frontal sutures appears rather unlikely considering that these taxa are deeply nested in Apocrita.

9. *Frontal lobes (frl, Fig. 1A; 2A; 3D, E): (0) absent; (1) present (char. 16 of Keller 2011). Present in many ant species but apparently not in the outgroups and also not in the ant groundplan (Bolton 2003). Bolton (2003) names rather broad, posteriorly constricted frontal lobes as they are found in Acromyrmex as apomorphies of the fungus growing ants.

10. {Mesally directed lamellae of the anterior tentorial arms (ml, Fig. 10A–F; 12B–E): (0) absent; (1) present. The information on the presence or absence of these structures in ants is presently very scarce. Tentorial lamellae are present in some other hymenopteran groups (e.g. Sapyga, Sapygidae), but usually with a lateral or ventrolateral orientation (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016)).

11. **Dorsal tentorial arms: (0) absent; (1) present. Present in all outgroup taxa (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016), but completely absent in the investigated species and possibly generally missing in ant workers.

12. **Secondary tentorial bridge: (0) absent; (1) present. Generally present in non-formicid groups according to Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen (2016). Apparently also present in the ant genus Melissotarsus (Myrmicinae) (Khalife et al. 2018). Likely fused to the head capsule in Acromyrmex and not recognizable at all in Wasmannia.
13. Antennal scrobe (as, Fig. 1A, B; 2A, B): (0) absent; (1) present but flat; (2) present. This character is used as diagnostic feature in the Attini. *Wamannia* has a shallower antennal scrobe compared to *Blepharidatta* and *Allomerus* (Cuezzo et al. 2016). This feature occurs variably within the Formicidae and is possibly of phylogenetic value.

14. Phragma of the antennal acetabulum as point of muscle origin (aphr, Fig. 10C; 11D, E): (0) absent; (1) present. Only reported in the present work so far. Possibly homologous to the structure designated as antennal ridge, for example in Duncan (1939: fig.9).

15. Torulus (to, Fig.1B; 2B; 3D, E) : (0) absent; (1) simple; (2) advanced. Present in all studied ants, and also in a less developed form in the outgroup taxa studied by Keller (2011). A simple sclerite likely homologous with the torulus is also described for *Pison* and *Vespa* as ‘antennal suture’ (Cowley 1959; Duncan 1939) (designated as antennal rim in the Hymenoptera Anatomy Ontology [=HAO]). The antennal articulation is membranous in Xyelidae according to Beutel & Vilhelmsen (2007), suggesting the absence of a torulus. Characters linked with this structure were coded in Keller (2011, esp. Characters 8, 9, 15).

16. Anterior basal margin of bulbus (Fig. 3C): (0) entire; (1) with notch (Keller 2011: character 20). The notch is missing in Myrmicinae according to Keller (2011). A distinct incision in the margin of the bulbus was identified in both species examined here, even though less deep than in Keller’s (2011) images. It is conceivable that the notch is secondarily lost in the clade (Myrmicina + Pogonomyrmecina, Branstetter et al. 2017) investigated by Keller (2011).

17. Acetabulum of antennal socket apparatus (ac, Fig. 3D, E): (0) dish-like; (1) spherical; (2) hemispherical (Keller 2011: character 10). Variable within Formicidae. Dish-like in Vespidae and Scoliidae, and also in Formicinae, Leptanillinae and some Dolichoderinae. Hemispherical in Ponerinae, Dorylinae, Heteroponerinae and some Amblyoponinae. In other groups spherical, including *Wasmannia* and *Acromyrmex*.

18. Origin of extrinsic antennal muscles and anterior component of *M. tentoriostipitalis* (0mx4) (Fig. 9D–I): (0) on dorsal and ventral side of the same region of the anterior tentorial arms; (1) separated from each other (Char. 4 Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016). Origin on mesal extensions at about the same area of the anterior arm in *Wasmannia* (Formicidae), Evanioidae, *Ibalia* (Ibalidae), *Doryctes* (Braconidae) and *Orthogonalys* (Trigonalidae) (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016). The tentorium appears twisted in other groups of Aculeata. The antennal muscles originate further posteriorly and the maxillary muscles anteriorly on lateral tentorial extensions or even on the clypeus as in *Vespula*. 
19. Anterolateral processes of hypostoma (hysp, Fig. 1C; 2C): (0) absent; (1) present. Present in *Wasmannia* but absent in *Acromyrmex*.

20. Setae with spines and fine longitudinal ridges (Fig. 2H): (0) absent; (1) present. Present on the head capsule in *Acromyrmex* and *Wasmannia*. If compiled from the taxonomic and other morphological literature, information on variation in form and details of setae could be phylogenetically useful (Keller 2011).

21. Midclypeal seta (mcs, Fig. 1A; 2A): (0) absent; (1) present. Present in several groups within the Attini, such as Attina and Blepharidattina, but also *Diaphoromyrma* (Bolton 2003, B. Boudinot pers. obs.).

22. Anteclypeus: (0) not differentiated as a defined region; (1) bent and with different surface structure. A differentiated anteclypeus was suggested as a characteristic of the “attine tribe group” by Bolton (2003). Probably an apomorphy of a subgroup of Attini.

23. Interaction of the labrum with the maxillolabial complex: (0) absent; (1) present. An interaction between lateral labral arm and the maxillae seems to be a general feature of ants. The labral arms interact with the maxillary palp in some Formicinae and Dolichoderinae, but with the stipes in all other ants. The latter condition is likely a groundplan apomorphy of Formicidae. This feature is absent in the outgroups (Keller 2011: chars 32, 33). Additional interactions of the labrum with structures such as the transverse premental groove as present in *W. affinis* occur very variable within ants (Keller 2011).

24. Antennal articulation area (Fig. 3D, E): (0) flat; (1) concave (Bolton 2003: ‘antennal fossa’). Almost generally concave in ants. Concavity shallow in Leptanillinae, some Dorylinae, and some Amblyoponinae, and absent in *Martialis* (AntWeb). Flat in Vespidae and Scoliidae (pers. obs. A. Richter).

25. Shape of antenna (Fig. 3A): (0) not geniculate; (1) geniculate. Geniculate in all crown ant species. (Keller 2011: character 21). Apparently an autapomorphy of Formicidae.

26. Antennal club (Fig. 3A): (0) absent; (1) present. Very variable within Formicidae and probably strongly affected by homoplasy. A club is present in *Wasmannia* and the related genera *Blepharidatta* and *Allomerus* (Cuezzo et al. 2016), and also in most Dacetini. However, it is missing in fungus growing ants (Bolton 2003).

27. Vestiture of antenna (Fig. 3B): (0) setae of similar thickness; (1) stouter setae distributed among thin setae. Antennal vestiture of Aculeata generally with setae of different thickness and with additional sensilla (Hashimoto 1990).

28. Mandible length (Fig. 4): (0) short, with five or less teeth; (1) long, usually at least seven teeth. This character was used by Bolton (2003) to separate his Belpharidattini with short mandibles) from the “Attini” (long mandibles). The definition of long
mandibles becomes a bit more ambiguous with the inclusion of the “Dacetini”, as many species in this group have very log mandibles compared to their head length which have very few teeth (e. g. Larabee & Suarez 2014). The Apomorphy of somehow “longer” mandible however still seems plausible for the Attina+”Dacetini” compared to outgroups such as *Wasmannia*.

29. Mandibular articulation (Fig. 2D): (0) mandible mesally framed by a process of the distal postgena; (1) mandible not framed by processes of the distal postgena. This additional connection of the mandible with the head capsule has also been described for *Pison* (Cowley 1959) and Scoliidae. In contrast, species of some other groups such as Mutillidae and Tiphiiidae, and also some species of Scoliidae are characterized by a mandibular articulation completely separated from the oral foramen by a cuticular connection between postgena and clypeus (Osten 1982). This configuration can also be observed in several apoid wasps (e.g. Philantinae and Sphecinae, Bohart & Menke [1976]).

30. *Dorsal (secondary) mandibular articulation (dma, Fig 2D; 4A, C): (0) ball-and-socket; (1) dorsoventrally oriented sliding device. The character is sparsely documented for ants, but images in AntWeb suggest that a secondary mandibular joint transformed into a gliding device may be a general feature and groundplan apomorphy of Formicidae. The articulation is present as a ball-and-socket joint in *Vespa* (Duncan 1959) and *Pison* (Cowley 1939).

31. Base of mandible with lateroventral process connected to apodeme of mandibular adductor (M. 12) internally (abductor swelling) (abs, Fig. 1B; 2B; 3C): (0) absent; (1) present. Absent in *Vespa* (Duncan 1959), but apparently present in Scoliidae (Osten 1982) and *Pison* (Cowley 1939). Probably generally present in ants, but rarely mentioned explicitly (Gotwald 1969). A potential synapomorphy linking Formicidae with other groups of Aculeata.

32. Shape of distal parts of mandible (Fig. 4): (0) planar; (1) torqued (Keller 2011, Char. 29). Torqued and triangular distal mandibular parts occur in most extant ants and similar conditions occur in many vespids and Bees (pers. observation R. Keller). However, many fossil ants and some Leptanillinae divert from this type, making the groundplan state for Formicidae ambiguous (e.g. Bolton 2003).

33. Mandalus (ma, Fig. 3A): (0) absent; (1) present. The mandalus is a conspicuous features of ant mandibles. It is apparently also present in Martialinae (Brandão et al. al. 2010), the putative sister group (possibly together with the Leptanillinae) of the remaining ants. The reduction of the mandalus (together with the trulleum) is an apomorphy of the “Dacetini” (Bolton 2003). A mandalus has never been described in other groups of Hymenoptera.
34. °Maxillolabial complex (Fig. 5A): (0) absent; (1) present. The maxillolabial complex is a groundplan apomorphy of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007, char. 20).
35. Stipital groove articulating with prementum (Fig. 5; 11D, E): (0) absent; (1) present. Present in *Wasmannia* but absent in *Acromyrmex*. This feature, which was not described in Keller (2011), is possibly an apomorphy of *Wasmannia*, but information for other groups of Formicidae is very scarce. A longitudinal groove is present in several other ants, such as Pseudomyrmecinae (Pers. observation R. Keller). The stipites also articulate with the prementum in *Sparasion*, although the structures involved in the articulation are probably not homologous (Popovici et al 2014). The groove is absent in Vespidae (Duncan 1939), Scoliidae (Osten 1982), and Crabronidae (Cowley 1959).
36. °Galeal crown (gacr, Fig. 5; 6A): (0) absent; (1) present. A row of setae at the distal end of the galea is probably present in the groundplan of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007). It is not completely clear if these setae are homologous to the galeal crown of Formicidae (e.g. Gotwald 1969).
37. Maxillary comb (mxc, Fig. 5A; 6A, E): (0) absent; (1) present. Generally present in ants (Gotwald 1969). Rows of setae on the inner side of the galea are also present in Vespidae (Duncan 1939), Crabronidae (Cowley 1959), and Scoliidae (Osten 1982).
38. °Specialized microtrichia on inner side of lacinia (Fig. 6C): (0) absent; (1) present. Present in the studied species. The available information on this character is sparse.
39. °Insertion of posterior component of *M. tentoriostipitalis anterior* (M. 18/0mx4b): (0) together with anterior component of *M. tentoriostipitalis anterior* (M. 18/0mx4a); (1) separate from anterior component of *M. tentoriostipitalis anterior* (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016: char. 19). The two components of *M. tentoriostipitalis anterior* (M. 18/0mx4) have separate insertion sites in *Pison* and *Apis* (Youssef 1971). Two tendons are present in *Wasmannia*, however with very closely adjacent insertion sites and without recognizably separated muscle bundles. In contrast, muscle bundles and tendons are clearly separated in *Acromyrmex* (pers. observation A. Richter). The insertion sites are also separated but close to each other.
40. °Shape of prementum (Fig. 5A; 7G): (0) not distinctly elevated; (1) distinctly elevated as an oval anvil-shaped structure: Elevated and anvil-shaped in *Wasmannia* and *Acromyrmex*. Possibly a derived groundplan feature of Formicidae, even though lateral premental ditches are a general feature of Aculeata. Images on AntWeb and in Gotwald (1969) suggest that a similar condition occurs in many subgroups of Formicidae.
41. Subglossal brush (sglb, Fig. 7A G; 11E, F): (0) absent; (1) present: Generally present in ants (Gotwald 1969). Probably homologous to the basiparaglossal brush (HAO) and thus present in many Apocrita, possibly as an autapomorphy of this group (Vilhelmsen 1996).
Paraglossae (pgl, Fig. 7D, I; 11C): (0) absent; (1) small and membranous; (2) normally developed; (3) specialized paraglossae with spines (see Keller 2011: Char. 46). The paraglossae are small and membranous in most groups of ants (Keller 2011) and also in some other hymenopteran taxa such as for instance Pison (Cowley 1959). They are normally sized in Vespa (e.g. Baranek et al. 2018). According to Keller (2011) large specialized paraglossae with spines are present in Myrmicinae (Myrmica, Manica and Pogonomymex). Interestingly, this does not apply to the species investigated here. Further studies with extended taxon sampling are required to clarify whether specifically modified paraglossae are a groundplan feature of Myrmicinae with secondary reduction in groups such as Attini, or whether a derived condition has evolved independently in some of the subgroups of this subfamily.

Hypopharyngeal groove with areas of different surface structure (hyg, Fig. 7A): (0) absent or indistinct; (1) distinctly developed. Distinct in the studied species and apparently also in other ants (Gotwald 1969: labial groove?). The available information on other taxa is scarce. Cowley (1959) described a slightly depressed distal surface of the hypopharynx for Pison, possibly a preceding stage of the hypopharyngeal groove of ants. A similar condition was described by Duncan (1939) for Vespa.

Origin of M. 29 (0la5) (Fig. 9J–L): (0) on posterior postgenal region; (1) on posterior tentorium. On the postgena in Wasmannia and on the posterior tentorium in Acromyrmex. Little information is available on this character but both areas of origin occur in the few studied ants, suggesting a high variability within the family. The muscle originates on different parts of the posterior tentorium in other groups of Hymenoptera. Several bundles originate on the frontal area in Pison (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016) and one bundle on the clypeus in Vespa (Duncan 1959). M. 42 originates on the posterior tentorium in Lasius niger according to Janet (1905), whereas M. 29 originates on the postgena.

M. praementosalivarialis anterior (M. 37/0hy7A) (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016: char. 14) (Fig. 9J–L; 11H; 12A): (0) absent; (1) present. Absent in Pison, Sapyga, Apis (Youssef 1971) and Vespula (Duncan 1939). The muscle appears to be present in the ant species studied here. However, it cannot be excluded that the bundle in question is a separate subunit of M. praementoglossalis.

Subunit of M. hypopharyngosalivarialis attached to hypopharyngeal button (M. 37/0hy12B): (0) absent; (1) present (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016: char. 16). An additional dorsal salivarial muscle inserting on the hypopharyngeal button is present in Orthogonalys, Evanioidea, and Pison, and also in Filacus (Tenthredinidae) (Matsuda 1957: pl. 3B: M.41), Apis (Youssef 1971: M. basipremenosomalivarialis) and
**Vespula** (Duncan 1939: pmslv). Typically, *M. tentoriorhypopharyngalis* runs between *M. hypopharyngosalivarialis* and *M. hypopharyngosalivarialis B*. In the studied ants, two components of M. 37 can be distinguished, but none of them attaches to the hypopharyngeal button.

47. Number of maxillary palpomeres (pmx, Fig. 5; 6): (0) 6; (1) 5; (2) 4; (3) 3; (4) 2; (5) 1; (6) 0 (Keller 2011: char. 36). Six palpomeres occur in *Pison* (Cowley 1959) and in *Vespa* (Duncan 1939). This character is very variable within Formicidae. A six-segmented maxillary palp was assigned to the groundplan of Formicidae (Bolton 2003, Keller 2011).

48. Number of labial palpomeres (plb, Fig. 5A; 7A, G): (0) 4; (1) 3; (2) 2; (3) 1 (Keller 2011: char. 37). Four palpomeres occur in *Pison* (Cowley 1959) and in *Vespa* (Duncan 1939). This character is very variable within Formicidae. Four segments are the plesiomorphic condition (Bolton 2003, Keller 2011).

49. °Infrabuccal pouch (ibp, 10F; 11F–H; 12A–C): (0) absent; (1) present. The presence is a groundplan apomorphy of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007). This feature is maintained in Formicidae (e.g. Janet 1905).

50. °^Sitophore plate (sp, Fig. 10F; 11B–G): (0) absent; (1) present. The presence is a groundplan apomorphy of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007) and apparently maintained in Formicidae as it was clearly observed in the present study.

51. ^Sitophore plates with extensive processes (spv, spd, spl, Fig. 11; 12): (0) absent; (1) present. Processes are likely present in the groundplan of Hymenoptera (Vilhelmsen 1996), variably described for example as “pharyngeal bars” (e.g. Duncan 1939) or “oral arms” (e.g. Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016). Specifically shaped extensions of the plate could be phylogenetically informative within Formicidae, but the available information is very sparse as this structure has never been described in detail previous to the present study.)

52. ^Posteriorly bent “buccal tube” (bt, Fig. 10F): (0) absent, (1) present. A bent cibarium is described for different hymenopteran outgroups (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016: char. 11). A very sharp bend of nearly 180° as described here for *Wasmannia* is possibly an apomorphy of Formicidae or a subgroup. However, the available information is very scarce at present.)

53. ^M. tentorioralis* (0hy2/ M. 47): (0) absent; (1) present. Usually present in hymenopterans (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016), but absent in all studied species of ants (e.g. Janet 1905, Paul et al. 2002, present study), at least in its typical form. It cannot be fully excluded a subunit of *M. frontohypopharyngalis* (M. 41a), which was described here for the first time, is homologous with M. 47. However, this would imply a shift of origin from the tentorium to the antennal insertion area.
54. "Strongly developed epipharyngeal longitudinal muscles (Mpe, Fig. 10D; 11; 12A): (0) absent; (1) present. Present in the groundplan of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007) and preserved in Formicidae, but with varying numbers and positions of bundles (pers. observation, comparison with Acromyrmex)."

55. "Specialized subunit of M. frontohypopharyngalis (M. 41) originating on phragma of acetabulum (Fig. 10A; 11; 12A): (0) absent; (1) present. Reported here for the first time for Formicidae. A bundle of M. 41 with this area of origin is not described for any other group of Hymenoptera."

56. "M. 51: (0) absent; (1) present. This muscle is usually present in Hymenoptera (Zimmermann & Vilhelmsen 2016). In contrast it is missing in the few ants with well-documented cephalic musculature (Janet 1905, Paul et al. 2002), even though a muscle likely homologous with M. 51 is shown in Lubbock (1877). Presently the anatomical data are too sparse for a phylogenetic interpretation."

57. "Prepharyngeal glands (pphg, Fig. 11H, H; 12A–D): (0) absent; (1) present. Absent in Xyelidae (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007) and therefore probably in the groundplan of Hymenoptera, but occurring in Polistinae (as Hypopharyngeal gland) and probably other Hymenopterans (Penagos-Arévalo et al. 2015)."

58. "Pharyngeal glands (phg, Fig. 12): (0) absent; (1) present. Missing in Xyelidae (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007) and therefore probably in the groundplan of Hymenoptera. A pharyngeal gland has been described for many Formicidae as postpharyngeal gland (e.g. Peregrine & Cherret 1973, Delage-Darchen 1976, Billen & Al-Khalifa 2015) and also in some other groups of Aculeata (e.g. Herzner et al. 2007)."

59. "Compaction of brain and suboesophageal ganglion (Fig. 10 D–F): (0) absent; (1) present. A very compact complex formed by the brain and suboesophageal ganglion is probably part of the groundplan of Formicidae (e.g. Gronenberg 2008). However, this condition is very likely also linked with small size. This feature is shared by other closely related hymenopterans such as Pison (Cowley 1959). Brain and suboesophageal ganglion are separated by elongated circumoesophageal connectives in the groundplan of Hymenoptera (Beutel & Vilhelmsen 2007)."

60. "Optic neuropils: (0) well-developed; (1) represented by slender optic nerves. Well developed in most hymenopterans. Strongly reduced in most ant workers, but relatively well developed in males and queens (e.g. Gronenberg 2008), although they can degenerate in older queens (Julian & Gronenberg 2002)."
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Tabelle 2: List of important morphological terms used in the present work with the definition employed here. The muscles are excluded as they are already explicitly defined in the text. References are either the source for the term (although the wording of the original definition was usually changed) or for the alternative term if present (in that case the reference follows the alternative term).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Alternative Term/Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>abs</td>
<td>abductor swelling</td>
<td>lateral swelling of mandibular base internally connected to abductor apodeme.</td>
<td>Michener &amp; Fraser (1978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ac</td>
<td>acetabulum</td>
<td>concave depression of torular sclerite receiving the bulbus.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as</td>
<td>antennal scrobe</td>
<td>variably shaped depression of head capsule for antenna in resting position.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ant</td>
<td>antennifer</td>
<td>cone shaped process of acetabulum articulating with bulbus.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>an</td>
<td>anterolateral notch of bulbus</td>
<td>attachment site of tendon of M1.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tmc</td>
<td>apical transverse mandibular carina</td>
<td>carina on internal mandibular face proximad mandibular teeth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>absa</td>
<td>articulation area of the abductor swelling</td>
<td>convexity of head capsule between dorsal and ventral mandibular articulations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bm</td>
<td>basal margin</td>
<td>mandibular margin connecting masticatory margin and mandibular base.</td>
<td>Gotwald (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bc</td>
<td>buccal cavity</td>
<td>preoral space between anterior epi- and hypopharynx, anterad functional mouth opening, laterally open.</td>
<td>oral foramen, <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000670">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000670</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bt</td>
<td>buccal tube</td>
<td>differentiation of anterior prepharynx, anterior to sharp bend, with specific different histological structure, laterally closed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bb</td>
<td>bulbus</td>
<td>proximal swelling of scapus, articulates with antennifer of acetabulum.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bbn</td>
<td>bulbus neck</td>
<td>constriction between bulbus and distal part of scapus.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cae</td>
<td>canthellar elevation</td>
<td>elevation on mesal side of mandible, connected to canthellus.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ca</td>
<td>canthellus</td>
<td>strengthening ridge between basal margin and mandibular base.</td>
<td>Brandão et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cdr</td>
<td>cardinal ridge</td>
<td>carina of distal cardio.</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002082">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002082</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cdt</td>
<td>cardinal tip</td>
<td>apex of caro, with cardinal ridge and connected to stipes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cibarium</td>
<td>complete space between epi- and hypopharynx, includes the buccal cavity and prepharynx.</td>
<td>Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>csga</td>
<td>Conical sensilla of the galea</td>
<td>Conical sensilla on internal surface of galea, adjacent to maxillary comb.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hysd</td>
<td>Distal hypopharyngeal sclerite</td>
<td>Composed of hypopharyngeal buttons, fused to salivarial sclerite and connected to lateral crescent-shaped sclerites. Raquette of bugnion (Gotwald 1969)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmds</td>
<td>Distal premental surface</td>
<td>Proximally delimited by transverse premental groove.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glds</td>
<td>Dorsal glossal sclerite</td>
<td>Paired plate-like sclerites stabilizing soft glossal surface dorsally. Anterior glossal sclerite, <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000112">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000112</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dma</td>
<td>Dorsal mandibular articulation</td>
<td>The dorsal articulation of the mandible that is formed by an elongated proximolateral process of the clypeus and a dorsolateral smooth sliding surface of the mandible. Secondary mandibular joint, Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dorsal tentorial arms</td>
<td>The apodeme that arises anterodorsally from the anterior tentorial arm and extends to the anterior wall of the cranium. <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000275">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000275</a>, Beutel et al. 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ep</td>
<td>Epipharynx</td>
<td>Semimembranous roof of anteriormost part of digestive tract; ventral wall of labrum, roof of buccal cavity, and prepharynx including buccal tube, ends with anatomical mouth opening. Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ef</td>
<td>External face</td>
<td>External mandibular face. Gotwald (1969)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frc</td>
<td>Frontal carina</td>
<td>Carina extending along lateral margin of intertorular area (median margin of antennal foramen) towards region of vertex. <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0001533">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0001533</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fcs</td>
<td>Frontoclypeal sulcus</td>
<td>Strengthening ridge between anterior tentorial pits, separating clypeus from frontal area. Keller (2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fmo</td>
<td>Functional mouth opening</td>
<td>Anterior opening of buccal tube (anterior section of prepharynx) into buccal cavity. Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gacr</td>
<td>Galeal crown</td>
<td>Fringe of setae at distal margin of galea. <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002132">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002132</a>, Gotwald 1969</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hy</td>
<td>Hypopharynx</td>
<td>Unpaired lobe above proximal labium, distally delimited by salivarium, laterally by prementum, forms lower wall of the buccal cavity, infrabuccal pouch and buccal tube, and floor of posterior prepharynx as sclerotized sitophore plate, ends with the anatomical mouth opening. Beutel et al (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyg</td>
<td>Hypopharyngeal groove</td>
<td>Groove on the distal hypopharyngeal surface with a different surface structure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hys</td>
<td>Hypostoma</td>
<td>Cuticular strip below oral foramen, connected to distal postgenal bridge. <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000411">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000411</a>, Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Additional Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hysp</td>
<td>hypostomal process</td>
<td>laterodistal process of hypostoma.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ibp</td>
<td>infrabuccal pouch</td>
<td>pouch formed by hypopharynx between functional mouth and labial hypopharyngeal section.</td>
<td>Beutel &amp; Vilhelmsen (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if</td>
<td>internal face</td>
<td>mesal/ventral face of mandible.</td>
<td>Gotwald (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>psts</td>
<td>internal stiptal sclerite</td>
<td>sclerite on internal stiptal side, connecting external stipes sclerite and lacinia.</td>
<td>&quot;supporting sclerite&quot; Gotwald 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lbp</td>
<td>labral process</td>
<td>proximolateral process on internal side of labrum.</td>
<td>labral arms, Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lcc</td>
<td>lacinial comb</td>
<td>fringe of setae along margin of lacinia.</td>
<td>Gotwald (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>lateral crescent-shaped hypopharyngeal sclerite</td>
<td>lateral sclerotizations stabilizing hypopharynx, connected to premental arms and hypopharyngeal buttons.</td>
<td>hypopharyngeal rod, <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000408">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000408</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmls</td>
<td>lateral premental surface</td>
<td>separated from ventral surface by premental ditches.</td>
<td>lateral premental face, <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002152">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002152</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mal</td>
<td>malar region</td>
<td>lateral area of head between compound eyes and epistomal sulcus.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>masticatory margin</td>
<td>toothed distal margin of mandible.</td>
<td>Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ptg</td>
<td>peritorular groove</td>
<td>groove of head capsule around antennal insertion area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>phg</td>
<td>pharyngeal gland</td>
<td>cephalic gland opening into anterior pharynx near anatomical mouth.</td>
<td>postpharyngeal gland, Boonen et al (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ph</td>
<td>pharynx</td>
<td>anteriormost section of foregut, between anatomical mouth and oesophagus, with dorsal and ventral dilators and ring muscles.</td>
<td>Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aphr</td>
<td>phragma of the antennal insertion</td>
<td>internal phragma originating from antennal foramen.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>posterior tentorial process</td>
<td>paired tubular evaginations of posterior tentorium.</td>
<td>Zimmermann &amp; Vilhelmsen (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgb</td>
<td>postgenal bridge</td>
<td>ventral closure of head formed by fused postgenae.</td>
<td>Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgr</td>
<td>postgenal ridge</td>
<td>internal median ridge of postgenal bridge.</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0001104">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0001104</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmd</td>
<td>premental ditch</td>
<td>premental groove separating ventral from lateral premental surface.</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002227">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002227</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pphg</td>
<td>prepharyngeal gland</td>
<td>gland posterior to infrabuccal pouch, opening into prepharynx.</td>
<td>prepharyngeal gland, Boonen et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pph</td>
<td>prepharynx</td>
<td>preoral tube formed by lateral fusion of posterior epi- and hypopharynx, between functional and anatomical mouth.</td>
<td>Beutel et al. (2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spd, spv, spl</td>
<td>process of sitophore plate</td>
<td>process of sitophore plate with different orientation at different levels.</td>
<td>oral arm, Zimmermann &amp; Vilhelmsen (2016), pharyngeal bars (Duncan 1939),</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>salivarial sclerite</td>
<td>sclerotization of ventral wall of salivarium.</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0001682">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0001682</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary tentorial bridge</td>
<td>connection between anterior tentorial arms anterad tentorial bridge.</td>
<td>Zimmermann &amp; Vilhelmsen (2016)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stgl, stgt</td>
<td>stipital groove</td>
<td>groove of external stipital sclerite.</td>
<td>Gotwald (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sfc</td>
<td>subforaminal cup</td>
<td>attachment site for profrucocopostoccipital muscles at ventral margin of occipital foramen.</td>
<td>Zimmermann &amp; Vilhelmsen (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sca</td>
<td>supraclypeal area</td>
<td>well-delineated area posterior to median clypeal region between frontal carinae.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to</td>
<td>torulus</td>
<td>circular sclerite with annular rim surrounding antennal acetabulum.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tri</td>
<td>torulus lateral arch</td>
<td>lateral arch of torular rim.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trm</td>
<td>torulus mesal arch</td>
<td>mesal arch of torular rim.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmtg</td>
<td>transverse premental groove</td>
<td>separates distal from proximal premental surface, fitting with distal labral margin.</td>
<td>Keller (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgt</td>
<td>triangular postgenal process</td>
<td>process mesally adjacent with mandible.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tr</td>
<td>trulleum</td>
<td>concavity of dorsal mandibular base, delimited by basal mandibular margin and canthellus.</td>
<td>Gotwald (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glvs</td>
<td>ventral gossal sclerite</td>
<td>elongate sclerite at ventral base of glossa.</td>
<td>posterior gossal sclerite, <a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000748">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0000748</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pmvs</td>
<td>ventral premental face</td>
<td>separated from lateral face by the premental ditches.</td>
<td><a href="http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002156">http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/HAO_0002156</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vclp</td>
<td>ventral process of clypeus</td>
<td>triangular clypeal process adjacent with mandible dorsally.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>